

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/832,899	04/12/2001	Jean-Marc Balloul	032751-052	1686
7590 10/02/2003		EXAMINER		
Norman H. Stepno			FOLEY, SHANON A	
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003



Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/832,899	BALLOUL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shanon Foley	1648			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	correspondence address			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status ₁\⊠	Personaliza to communication(s) filed on 12 A	Inril 2001				
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	is action is non-final.				
· —	,		resecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15 and 18, drawn to a poxvirus particle that infects and binds to target cells with a heterologous ligand moiety, classified in class 424, subclass 232.1 and 155.1. If applicant elects this group, applicant is required to elect a specific heterologous ligand moiety specific for the following molecules:
- A) tumor-specific antigen (claim 5)
- B) cellular protein (claim 5)
- C) gene product of a cancer-associated virus (claim 5)
- D) MUC-1 antigen (claim 6)

If applicant elects this group and after applicant has elected one of A-D, applicant is also required to elect a heterologous ligand moiety selected from the following:

- 1) scFv fragment of the SM3 monoclonal antibody (claim 7)
- 2) polypeptide that is part of a chimeric protein (claim 8) including a heterologous ligand moiety and a poxviral polypeptide
 - If applicant elects 2), applicant is required to elect a specific poxviral polypeptide selected from one of the genes listed in claim 9.
- II. Claims 16 and 17, drawn to a vector comprising at least one nucleic acid sequence encoding a chimeric protein comprising a heterologous ligand moiety and a homologous viral polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1. If applicant

Art Unit: 1648

elects this group, applicant is further required to elect a specific poxviral polypeptide selected from one of the genes listed in claim 17.

- III. Claim 19, drawn to a method of treatment by administering a poxvirus particle, classified in class 424, subclass 232.1.
- IV. Claims 20-23, drawn to a method of purifying a poxvirus particle, classified in class 435, subclass 239.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions A-D, 1, 2 and the specific viral genes within group I are unrelated. Applicant should note that these elections are not drawn to different species, but are independent inventions. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, each of the poxvirus particles are specific for distinctly different antigens, proteins or genes due to the structural divergence of the heterologous ligand moiety. Each moiety is capable of binding to structurally different products and therefore, each poxvirus particle comprising different heterologous ligand moieties are functionally distinct.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the products of group I are poxviruses, while the product of group II is a vector. The poxvirus of group I is structurally and functionally unrelated to the vector of group II.

Art Unit: 1648

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of treating can be practiced with each of the distinct poxvirus particles capable of recognizing and binding to various products due to the unique characteristics of the heterologous ligand moiety.

Inventions I and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method of purifying can be used to purify structurally and functionally unrelated poxviruses and/or a wild-virus poxvirus. Alternatively, the poxviruses may be purified by a different process, such as filtration.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1648

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shanon Foley whose telephone number is (703) 308-3983. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (703) 308-4027. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Shanon Foley